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Participants will be able to:

- Facilitate credibility assessment of online information for students’ individual and academic needs;
- Plan one activity around innovative models designed to help young people assess website credibility; and
- Collaborate with other library staff in facilitating young people’s learning of online credibility assessment.
At each table, use the sticky notes provided to answer the following two questions:

- What are the needs in my library in terms of credibility assessment?
- What are frequent challenges children face in assessing credibility of online information?

Use as many sticky notes as you like, but write only one answer per note.

Using a wall in the room, with one side for challenges and one for needs, stick your notes under either side.

After 20 minutes, we’ll ask for a few volunteers to assist in organizing the notes into common themes. Mega, Natalie, and Beth will summarize these needs and challenges.
PREDICTIVE CREDIBILITY

How Search Works

Google Search: Basics

The following G Suite lessons will provide a fundamental understanding of Search, its key features, and general benefits in the classroom. With a basic understanding of Search, you can access information and promote your students with meaningful learning experiences that will engage them in critical thinking and analysis.

You will learn to:
- Describe Search
- Identify Search's main components
- Identify the unique value to educators and teaching
- Access supporting information to internet searches

To start, review the Basic lessons below and conduct all activities. It is recommended you have another browser or computer screen available to practice the step-by-step exercises. When you are finished, review the Advanced lessons in the Search section to gain a deeper understanding of how to use these tools to impact teaching and learning.

Lesson 1: Introduction to Google Search (5 mins)
Lesson 2: Basics of Google Search (10 mins)
Lesson 3: Using Filters to Narrow Results in Search (20 mins)
Lesson 4: Using Images and Other Media Types to Narrow Results (20 mins)
Lesson 5: Creating a Customized Search (20 mins)
EVALUATIVE CREDIBILITY

Essential Questions

- How do I know whether or not I can trust the information on a particular website?
- How can I tell if the information on a website will help me learn what I need to know?

AASL Standards

1.1.1 Follow an inquiry-based process in seeking knowledge in curricular subjects, and make the real-world connection for using this process in own life.
1.1.4 Find, Evaluate, and select appropriate sources to answer questions
1.1.6 Read, View, and listen for information presented in any format (e.g., textual, visual, media, digital) in order to make inferences and gather meaning.
2.1.1 Continue an inquiry-based research process by applying critical thinking skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation, organization) to information and knowledge in order to construct new understandings, draw conclusions, and create new knowledge.
2.1.5 Collaborate with others to exchange ideas, develop new understandings, and solve problems.

Goals

At the end of the pod, students will be able to:

- Demonstrate effective strategies for evaluating websites, from both search engine responses and website content;
- Demonstrate understanding of the connection between credibility and relevance; and
- Demonstrate flexibility in the use of resources by adapting information strategies to find more credible resources.
WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT?

- Overly confident;
- Lack domain knowledge;
- Lack search experience;
- Search and skim quickly;
- Assume information is correct;
- Choose most easily/quickly accessible sources;
- Rely on surface characteristics; and
- Rely on their familiarity with the vocabulary, media, and source.
“How sure do you feel about your answer to this question?”

Sure Knowledge: Participant found information online that matched what he/she already knew or had been told.

Sure Trust: Participant believed the particular website where he/she obtained the information or the Internet, in general.

Sure Hunch: Participant had a hunch or feeling that the information he/she found online is correct.

Unsure: Participant was unable to find the information online or the information he/she found did not match his/her prior beliefs.

Figure 1. Participants’ reasons for feeling sure (or unsure) of their answers to health-related questions.
WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT

Our participants:

• Automatically trusted the Internet;
• Made credibility judgments that were primarily intuitive (hunch-based) or heuristic (based on generalized rules of thumb)
INSTRUCTIONAL STRATEGIES

- **Don’t assume you’re right**
  Even when you feel very sure about an answer, keep in mind that the answer may not be correct.

- **Don’t assume you’re wrong**
  You may actually have correct information, even if the information you encounter on the Internet does not match.

- **Take an open approach**
  Maintain a critical stance by not including your pre-existing beliefs as keywords.

- **Verify, verify, verify**
  Information found on the open Web needs to be validated, no matter how the information appears on the site.
# PREDICTIVE CREDIBILITY

**Handout: Parts of a Search Engine Results Page**

**DIRECTIONS:** Print one copy for each group. Cut out each word and definition/image along the dotted line and place all in one envelope for each group. Have students match the words, definitions, and images of each search results part.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Words</th>
<th>Definitions</th>
<th>Images</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Search verticals/filters</td>
<td>Links to the <em>vertical search engines</em>, the specialized ones that narrow your search into a specific type of result, such as news or images. Clicking one of these links takes you to a results page with only news or only images, for example.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search bar/search box</td>
<td>Near the top of the results page, where you can type in, see your query, and modify it or enter a new one.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related searches</td>
<td>Other topics that contain your query or other searches Google thinks might be relevant.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disambiguation</td>
<td>The “Did you mean . . . ?” suggestions that usually display after a misspelled search query turned up very few results. Google is trying to guess what you actually wanted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pod 3, Activity 3: Anatomy of a Search Engine Results Page*
EVALUATIVE CREDIBILITY

Fake Hurricane Sandy Photos Spread On Internet As Storm Barrels Toward Northeast

Vocabulary*

- **Relevance**: “[being] connected with the matter at hand”
- **Credible**: “worthy of belief or confidence; trustworthy”
- **Publish**: “to present a finished piece of work to the public”
- **Database**: “a comprehensive collection of related data organized for convenient access”
- **Evaluate**: “to carefully examine something to figure out its value”
- **Criteria**: “standards on which you base a judgment or decision”

*The definitions for relevance, credible, database, and search engine are from [www.dictionary.com](http://www.dictionary.com). The National Library of Medicine definition is paraphrased from nlm.nih.gov. Publish, evaluate, and criteria all come from the Common Sense Media lesson plan: [https://www.commonsensemedia.org/educators/lesson/identifying-high-quality-sites-6-8](https://www.commonsensemedia.org/educators/lesson/identifying-high-quality-sites-6-8)*
iEvaluate

- Consider online resources
- Think about activities that are new to students
- Work in pairs to scaffold activities
- Think beyond real or fake. Consider purpose, author, and relevance

iEvaluate: A Closer Look At Websites

Visit and evaluate at least three of the websites listed, then answer the following questions in your process journals or using a collaborative document or spreadsheet:

1. Havidoll: The first and only treatment for dysphoric social attention consumption deficit anxiety disorder (DSACDAD). http://havidol.com
2. DHMO: A dangerous or useful chemical? http://www.dhmo.org/facts.html
This activity is designed for students to view the homepages of several types of medical websites and comment on different aspects of the sites as to whether the aspects make the students think the source is more or less credible.

**Approximate Time**
30-40 minutes

**Materials**
- 6 large poster screenshots
- Two colors of post-it notes
- Pens

OR

- Projector
- Digital JPEG images of screenshots
- Student access to [www.padlet.com](http://www.padlet.com)

**External URLs**
[www.padlet.com](http://www.padlet.com)
Think about the heuristics you use when you review a Google search results list and/or a new website. Write some of the strategies in a list.

Think about how this might differ for a young person. How could they use a list like this to improve their credibility evaluations.

Now consider:
- How have you traditionally taught credibility?
- How are you going to teach credibility assessment now? What will you do differently? Why?
- Is there a specific activity that you are thinking of implementing?
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hackhealth@umd.edu
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